



Lustre* 2.5 and Beyond

High Performance Data Division

Andreas Dilger

April 17, 2013

* Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Feature Submission Process

- T minus 3 months: Features must be **LANDED**
 - Feature description and design in Jira ticket
 - Patch submission must be started well before cutoff date
 - Need to test, inspect, update, retest, integrate with other new features
- T minus 2 months: Documentation and test plan completed
 - Plan for new functionality/performance/load testing
 - Manual updates for user-facing features/tunables
 - Unix man pages for tools, APIs better if with patch itself
- T minus 1 month: only bug fixes landed after this point
- No features are guaranteed to be in any release
 - Train model only includes features that are ready by cutoff

[http://wiki.opensfs.org/Lustre Community Development in Progress](http://wiki.opensfs.org/Lustre_Community_Development_in_Progress)

Features Discussed In Other Presentations

- Distributed Namespace (DNE) – Intel
 - Asynchronous remote updates, rename, migration (2.5)
 - Stripe/Shard single directories over multiple MDTs (2.6)
- Lustre File System Check (LFSCK) – Intel
 - MDT/OST consistency check/repair (2.5)
 - MDT/MDT consistency check/repair (2.6)
- DAOS Exascale Infrastructure – Intel
 - Scalable broadcast network / Health Network (2.6/2.7)
- FEFS Features – Fujitsu
 - LNET Routing, big-endian servers, memory reduction, others (2.5/2.6/2.7)

Data Migration – CEA (2.4/2.5)

- Uses Layout Lock and object data version from HSM
- Safely move file data between OSTs
 - Restripe files after creation
 - Move files between storage tiers/pools for performance/space
 - Evacuate OST for service or removal
- Files can be open and in use
 - In case of conflict, IO optionally blocked or migration aborted
 - MDT inode stays the same, open file handles preserved
- Lfs migrate used by lfs_migrate script if layout lock available
- Needs policy engine in order to be really useful

Hierarchical Storage Management – CEA (2.5)

- Copy Tools initially for HPSS and POSIX archives
- Uses CEA *Robin Hood* for policy engine
 - Leverages Lustre ChangeLog to avoid scanning
- Client-side changes largely landed in 2.4
 - Layout lock, object data version, copytool APIs, RPC protocol
- Server changes currently under development
 - MDS Coordinator, File Release, Copy Tools
- Infrastructure usable for migration, replication, ...

Client Extended Attribute Cache – Xyratex (2.5)

- Fetch all xattrs from MDS with on inode lookup
 - Avoid RPC round-trip for *each* xattr access
 - Avoid RPC round-trip for xattrs that do not exist
- Cache xattrs on client under MDT IBITS lock
- Important for Samba/CIFS exporting performance
- Important for SELinux and similar labeling systems

Client Updates (2.5/2.6)

- Broad interest in community in this work
 - Contributions from EMC, ORNL, SuSE, LLNL, Intel , NRL
- Desire to include Lustre client in upstream Linux kernel
 - Easier for customer installations, reduce/eliminate lag for new kernels
- Need to clean up ten years of legacy code
 - Linux coding style is only a small part of this
 - Remove Solaris/WinNT/MacOS cfs_ API wrappers (*entire code?*)
 - Separate client and server functionality
 - Simplify abstraction layers in the client IO stack
 - Update code to use newer Linux VFS/VM interfaces
 - Remove dead code (via static code analysis)
 - Update build system (cleanup, DKMS packages)

Shared Key Crypto – Indiana University (2.6)

- Simplified node authentication and RPC encryption
 - WAN or other separate administrator domains
 - Uses existing Lustre GSSAPI/sptlrpc infrastructure from Kerberos
- Shared secret key is known by clients and servers
 - Key distribution external to Lustre (USB key, phone, (e)mail, pigeon)
 - Different keys for different client clusters
 - Servers can understand multiple keys per cluster
 - Rotate keys as needed, lifetimes can overlap
- Authenticate remote *nodes* instead of *users* like Kerberos
- Uses AES-128 encryption
 - Flexible to allow other encryption in the future

UID Mapping – Indiana University (2.6)

- Multiple clusters with different UID/GID maps
 - WAN or other separate administrator domains
 - Maps are maintained only on a cluster granularity
- Remote cluster nodes defined by client NID range
 - Optionally authenticated by shared-key authentication
 - *Cluster* can be one node or a whole campus
- Map remote UID/GID to MDS-local values on MDS
 - Does not need any changes to remote clients
 - Store remote UID/GID in MDS-local range
- Map remote UID/GID on OSS for quota

File Replication – Intel proposal (2.5/2.6/2.7)

- Mirror files across multiple OSTs (RAID-1+0)
 - Redundancy in case of OST failure (disk, network, software)
 - Read performance of hot files
- Phase 1: read-only replicas
 - Copy file in userspace then merge copy onto original inode
 - Implement reads, layout, failure handling, no overhead for write
 - Different layouts for each copy (tiered storage, RAID-1+6?)
- Phase 2: synchronous replication
 - Send each write to multiple OSTs, wait for commit
 - Implement writes, immediate redundancy, write overhead
- Phase 3: asynchronous replication
 - Send each write to multiple OSTs, no waiting
 - Complex recovery model (needs DAOS features)

T10-PI Data Integrity – Xyratex (2.6)

- SCSI Standard, implemented in some HBAs and disks
- Data integrity from syscall interface to disk for each sector
 - 16-bit Guard Tag (CRC or IP checksum)
 - 32-bit Reference Tag (low bits of sector address)
 - 16-bit App Tag (from application, if there was an API)
- Computed on client for each page (N sectors) on write
 - Kept with page in cache until RPC is generated
- Sent with each sector in RPC for read/write
 - Optionally in RPC request (increases request size), or with bulk data transfer
- Returned from server for each page (N sectors) on read
- Validated by peer, resend RPC on error

Small Files on MDT – Intel Proposal (2.6)

- Combine MDS and OSS into Unified Target
 - Remove duplication of common code
 - Allow client to read/write file data from MDT, index on OST
- Store small files on high-IOPS MDT storage
 - Reduce RPCs for small files (attrs for size, locks, RAID r-m-w)
 - Migrate file data to OST if it grows too large
 - Small-file workloads may only have MDTs?

Btrfs OSD – Intel Proposal (2.6)

- Desire to have advanced backing filesystem features
 - Snapshots, checksums, copy-on-write
 - ZFS is great and in 2.4, but has unfortunate license issues (real or not)
- Need to investigate and develop OSD layer for Btrfs
 - Lustre is ready for different OSD abstractions
 - Btrfs is slowly becoming defacto filesystem for desktops
 - Is Btrfs ready for Lustre? (performance, reliability, code, tools)
 - People will want to use it anyway, and it will improve quickly
- More work also needed in Lustre to use advanced features
 - Distributed coherent snapshot mechanism
 - Access multiple datasets and object versions

